Revista de Științe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques • No. 86 • 2025: 274 – 287

ORIGINAL PAPER

How humans and AI can thrive together in the workplace?

Lucian-Florin Spulbar[1)], Lavinia-Adelina Mitrache[2)]

Abstract:
The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the global workforce has prompted a controversy about whether it will affect human jobs. As much as people are afraid of losing their jobs, another school of thought indicates that AI and human labor can work together to boost productivity, creativity, and efficiency. This paper explores how AI has the potential to help humans get their job done more effectively, not to substitute for them. It calls for adapting the human capital, launching training programs, and redesigning job tasks. Based on research from multidisciplinary fields, this study discusses examples of successful partnerships of AI and humans in different sectors, such as healthcare, finance, and manufacturing.
Besides, this paper critically analyzes the ethical, economic, and social implications of AI diffusion in the labor market, with reference to issues of algorithmic bias, job polarization, and the dynamic nature of labor demand. The argument emphasizes the need for policymakers, educators, and business leaders to develop an AI-congruent workforce through inclusive policies and continuous learning mechanisms. Rather than viewing AI as an existential threat to employment, this study argues that leveraging AI in a beneficial manner by augmenting human creativity, emotional intelligence, and problem-solving capabilities with machine productivity can build a more resilient and flexible labor market. This research reimagines the place of AI in work. It advocates for a future in which humans and AI coexist and work harmoniously together, contributing to economic and technological growth in a rapidly evolving digital age.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI), human-AI collaboration, job automation and workforce adaptation, AI ethics, future of work and technology.*

JEL Classification: J24, O33, M15

[1)] Master's Degree Student, University of Craiova, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Craiova, Romania, Email: lucian.spulbar01@gmail.com.
[2)] PhD student Doctoral School of Economics Sciences "Eugeniu Carada", Economics specialization, Craiova, Romania, Email: mitrachelavinia6@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed the global workforce, sparking intense debates about its implications for human employment. While some fear that AI will replace human jobs, others argue that it has the potential to complement and enhance human labor, fostering increased productivity, efficiency, and innovation. AI-driven technologies, such as machine learning, natural language processing, and robotics, are being integrated into diverse industries, reshaping the way work is performed. From automating repetitive tasks to assisting in complex decision-making, AI is proving to be a powerful tool that, when used effectively, can improve workplace outcomes rather than diminish human roles.

Historically, technological advancements have disrupted labor markets but also led to the creation of new jobs and industries. The industrial revolution replaced many manual tasks, yet it also generated opportunities for workers in new sectors. Similarly, AI is changing job structures, requiring workers to adapt to new roles that demand collaboration with intelligent systems. Rather than eliminating the need for human expertise, AI augments human capabilities by handling large-scale data processing, recognizing patterns, and executing routine functions with speed and accuracy. This shift allows employees to focus on creative problem-solving, strategic thinking, and interpersonal tasks that AI cannot replicate.

However, the integration of AI into the workplace also presents significant challenges. Concerns over job displacement, algorithmic bias, and the shifting demand for skills have fueled discussions on how society should prepare for an AI-driven economy. Workers need to acquire new competencies to remain competitive in an evolving job market. Businesses, governments, and educational institutions play a crucial role in facilitating this transition by implementing reskilling programs, investing in AI literacy, and creating policies that ensure an inclusive and equitable workforce.

This paper explores the ways in which AI and human labor can coexist to create a more efficient, innovative, and adaptable workforce. By examining case studies from industries such as healthcare, finance, and manufacturing, it highlights the benefits of AI-human collaboration and the steps necessary to harness AI's potential while addressing its challenges. Instead of perceiving AI as a threat to employment, this research advocates for a future where AI and human intelligence work together, fostering sustainable economic growth and redefining the nature of work in the digital age.

2. The role of AI in enhancing human work

Artificial Intelligence has become an integral part of modern workplaces, improving efficiency and streamlining operations across various industries. By automating repetitive and time-consuming tasks, AI allows human workers to focus on more complex and creative problem-solving. This shift does not merely replace human labor but instead optimizes workflows, reducing errors and increasing overall productivity.

One of the key ways AI enhances efficiency is through robotic process automation (RPA), which automates structured, rule-based tasks. Studies by Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017) suggest that AI-powered automation has led to measurable productivity gains in industries like finance, customer service, and logistics. For example, banks use AI-driven algorithms to handle routine customer inquiries, process transactions, and detect fraudulent activities in real time, reducing manual intervention while improving accuracy (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

While AI excels in data processing and pattern recognition, it lacks human intuition, creativity, and emotional intelligence. Recent research emphasizes that AI functions best when augmenting rather than replacing human decision-making. In creative industries, AI assists in generating design ideas, composing music, and even writing code, yet human professionals remain essential for refining and contextualizing AI-generated outputs. Similarly, in financial services, AI is reshaping marketing strategies by enhancing customer insights, predicting consumer behavior, and optimizing personalized recommendations. As Popescu et. al (2024) argue, "AI-driven data analytics have revolutionized the way financial institutions personalize marketing strategies, allowing firms to anticipate customer needs and enhance service efficiency in unprecedented ways." This demonstrates that AI is not just a tool for automation but a valuable asset in improving strategic decision-making.

Beyond marketing, AI also plays a critical role in corporate finance, particularly in managing financial risks, ensuring sustainable business growth, and optimizing investment decisions. AI-powered financial models analyze vast datasets to provide real-time insights into risk exposure and profitability, helping executives make informed strategic decisions. Spulbar and Mitrache (2023) emphasize this shift, stating that "corporate finance has evolved from a purely analytical function to a strategic driver of sustainable business practices, where AI-powered financial intelligence allows firms to optimize investment decisions while integrating sustainability principles." This highlights AI's growing influence in corporate decision-making, where financial professionals leverage AI insights to balance profitability with long-term sustainability goals.

In manufacturing, AI enhances productivity through predictive maintenance, a system that analyzes sensor data to predict potential equipment failures before they occur. Research by Manyika et al. (2017) found that predictive maintenance reduces downtime by up to 50%, significantly improving efficiency and cost-effectiveness. Major companies like General Electric and Siemens have adopted AI-driven predictive maintenance strategies, demonstrating how AI-human collaboration leads to more stable and efficient industrial operations.

Similarly, in supply chain management, AI-driven forecasting models optimize inventory control, demand planning, and logistics. Studies indicate that machine learning algorithms improve demand forecasting accuracy by 20-50%, leading to reduced waste and better resource allocation (Choi et al., 2018). AI-powered logistics platforms, such as those used by Amazon and DHL, automate warehouse operations, enabling faster and more accurate order fulfillment.

Another sector that has witnessed significant productivity gains from AI is healthcare. AI-powered administrative automation helps streamline patient scheduling, medical billing, and data entry, reducing the burden on medical staff (Topol, 2019). Hospitals have reported improved efficiency as AI systems handle routine documentation tasks, allowing doctors and nurses to focus on patient care.

Despite these advancements, the role of human workers remains crucial. AI systems require supervision, maintenance, and ethical oversight to ensure responsible deployment. As Wilson and Daugherty (2018) argue, AI should be viewed as an augmentation tool rather than a replacement for human labor. The most effective AI implementations are those where humans and AI collaborate, leveraging each other's strengths to optimize efficiency and productivity.

Unlike traditional automation, which focuses on replacing routine tasks, AI-driven augmentation enhances human cognitive abilities by providing insights, generating creative content, and supporting complex decision-making processes. Research highlights

that AI can be a powerful tool when used to complement human expertise rather than substitute for it (Wilson & Daugherty, 2018).

One of the most striking examples of AI-driven creativity is found in the arts and design industry. AI-powered tools, such as OpenAI's DALL·E and DeepDream, assist artists by generating new visual concepts based on learned patterns. Amabile (2020) notes that AI can expand creative possibilities by providing inspiration and variations, yet the final refinement and emotional depth remain uniquely human qualities. Similarly, in music composition, AI programs like AIVA (Artificial Intelligence Virtual Artist) generate melodies that human musicians can refine and incorporate into their work.

In the business sector, AI is transforming decision-making by processing vast amounts of data and identifying patterns that would otherwise be difficult for humans to detect. Advanced machine learning models analyze customer behavior, financial risks, and market trends, allowing companies to make informed strategic decisions. Studies by Filippuccini et al. (2024) suggest that AI-driven decision support systems lead to better business outcomes by reducing uncertainty and improving forecasting accuracy. However, human oversight remains critical in interpreting AI-generated recommendations and making final strategic choices.

Another domain where AI augments human decision-making is healthcare, particularly in diagnostics and treatment planning. AI-powered systems like IBM Watson Health analyze medical records, research papers, and clinical data to assist doctors in diagnosing diseases and recommending treatment options. Topol (2019) argues that while AI can process medical data with exceptional speed and accuracy, human doctors provide the necessary contextual understanding, ethical judgment, and patient empathy that AI lacks. The combination of AI-driven diagnostics and human expertise leads to more precise and personalized healthcare.

AI also enhances scientific research and innovation by accelerating data analysis and hypothesis generation. Machine learning models assist researchers in drug discovery, climate modeling, and genomics, enabling breakthroughs that would take humans significantly longer to achieve manually (Marcus & Davis, 2019). For example, AI-driven algorithms have been instrumental in predicting protein structures, a critical advancement in medical and biological sciences. However, human scientists remain indispensable for interpreting results, formulating theories, and designing experiments.

Despite AI's remarkable contributions, ethical concerns arise regarding the potential over-reliance on AI-generated outputs. Studies emphasize that AI, while powerful, is not infallible and can introduce biases based on the data it is trained on (Boddington, 2017). Human critical thinking and ethical judgment are essential to ensuring AI's role remains supportive rather than deterministic.

The healthcare sector has embraced AI-driven solutions to improve diagnostics, patient care, and medical research. AI-powered diagnostic tools, such as deep learning models used for medical imaging, assist radiologists in detecting diseases like cancer with high accuracy (Jiang et al., 2017). IBM Watson Health, for instance, analyzes vast amounts of medical literature to support doctors in making evidence-based treatment recommendations. However, while AI can rapidly process and identify patterns in medical data, human doctors remain essential for interpreting results, considering ethical implications, and providing patient-centered care (Topol, 2019). Additionally, AI-powered robotic surgery, such as the Da Vinci system, enhances the precision of surgical procedures but still requires human surgeons to oversee and control the operation.

In the legal profession, AI is transforming case research, contract analysis, and legal documentation. Natural language processing (NLP) algorithms scan vast legal databases, summarizing relevant case law and regulations more efficiently than human

lawyers alone (Surden, 2018). Legal research tools like ROSS Intelligence utilize AI to assist lawyers in preparing cases, reducing the time needed for manual legal research. However, the interpretation of law, courtroom strategy, and client advocacy remain inherently human tasks, requiring emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and persuasive argumentation. AI enhances efficiency but does not replace the expertise and judgment of legal professionals.

AI is also reshaping the education sector by personalizing learning experiences and assisting educators. AI-driven adaptive learning platforms, such as Carnegie Learning and Coursera's machine learning algorithms, tailor educational content to individual students' needs, helping them learn at their own pace (Roll et al., 2021). Automated grading systems reduce teachers' workloads, allowing them to focus more on student engagement and mentorship. However, despite these advancements, AI cannot replace the emotional intelligence, motivation, and real-time adaptability that human teachers provide. The role of educators remains irreplaceable in fostering critical thinking, creativity, and social skills, qualities that AI cannot replicate.

3. The Impact of AI on Employment

The introduction of AI into the workforce has raised concerns about large-scale job displacement. However, market studies indicate that while AI eliminates some jobs, it simultaneously creates new roles requiring different skill sets. The key challenge is managing this transition and ensuring that workers acquire the skills needed for AI-driven industries.

Recent reports from the World Economic Forum (WEF, 2020) and McKinsey Global Institute (2021) provide an overview of AI's impact on employment:

Table 1 AI's impact on global job markets

Study Source	Estimated Job Loss (by 2025)	Estimated Job Creation (by 2025)	Net Impact
World Economic Forum (2020)	85 million jobs displaced	97 million new jobs created	+12 million jobs
McKinsey Global Institute (2021)	30% of global jobs affected	New AI-driven sectors expanding	Overall labor shift, not mass unemployment
OECD (2019)	14% of jobs highly vulnerable to automation	32% of jobs significantly changing	Reskilling required

Source: made by the author based on information from W.E.F (2020), OECD (2019) and McKinsey Global Institute (2021)

Artificial Intelligence is transforming the job market by automating routine tasks while creating new opportunities that require specialized skills. The World Economic Forum (2020) predicts that by 2025, AI will displace 85 million jobs but create 97 million new roles, resulting in a net gain of 12 million jobs. Similarly, the McKinsey Global Institute (2021) highlights that 30% of jobs worldwide will be affected by automation, yet rather than causing mass unemployment, AI will shift employment patterns. The OECD

(2019) estimates that 14% of jobs in developed countries are at high risk of automation, while 32% of roles will undergo significant changes, requiring reskilling.

From an economic perspective, AI-driven employment shifts align with Schumpeter's (2013) theory of Creative Destruction, which argues that technological progress eliminates certain jobs while generating entirely new industries. This pattern was observed during the Industrial Revolution and more recently with the rise of the internet economy. Similarly, Skill-Biased Technological Change (SBTC) (Acemoglu & Autor, 2011) suggests that low-skill, routine jobs are the most vulnerable to AI, while jobs demanding technical knowledge, creativity, and problem-solving will grow. Autor (2015) further explains that automation leads to job polarization, reducing middle-skill roles while increasing demand for both low-skill service jobs (e.g., caregiving, hospitality) and high-skill digital jobs (e.g., AI development, data science).

Despite concerns, history suggests that AI will redefine rather than eliminate employment. Job displacement will be most significant in manufacturing, data entry, and administrative roles, while growth will be seen in AI-related professions, digital marketing, cybersecurity, and AI ethics. Regional studies highlight different adaptation strategies, for example, European Union governments invest heavily in AI reskilling programs, while the U.S. relies more on private-sector-led training initiatives.

To fully capitalize on AI's benefits, governments, businesses, and educational institutions must focus on workforce adaptability. This includes updating school curricula to include AI and digital skills, corporate training programs, and stronger public-private partnerships. Instead of fearing AI, the focus should be on reskilling and integrating AI-human collaboration into the workforce to drive economic growth and innovation.

AI's impact on employment is uneven across industries, with routine and repetitive jobs facing the highest risk of automation, while complex, creative, and technical roles are expanding. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2022) and OECD (2019), jobs in data entry, assembly line work, and customer service are declining, whereas demand is growing for AI specialists, cybersecurity experts, and healthcare technicians.

The key labor market shift follows Skill-Biased Technological Change (SBTC) (Acemoglu & Autor, 2011), meaning low-skill jobs are being automated while high-skill digital roles are rising. Job polarization (Autor, 2015) also plays a role, middle-skill roles, such as administrative and clerical jobs, are shrinking, pushing workers into either low-skill service jobs or high-skill technical professions.

Table 2: Which jobs are most affected?

Job type	Risk of automation	Job market trend
Data entry clerks	High	Declining
Assembly line workers	High	Declining
Customer service reps	Moderate	Shift to AI-assisted roles
Retail cashiers	High	Declining
Administrative assistants	Moderate	Changing
AI specialists	Low	Rapid growth
Cybersecurity experts	Low	Increasing demand
Healthcare technicians	Low	Growing sector

Source: made by the author based on information from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2022)

AI is not leading to mass unemployment but rather shifting labor demand. Routine jobs are at risk, while AI-driven roles are expanding, particularly in technology,

cybersecurity, and AI governance. The key challenge is reskilling and workforce adaptation to ensure smooth transitions into AI-augmented professions.

AI adoption and its effects on the workforce vary significantly across regions due to differences in economic structures, government policies, and investment in AI-driven industries. While the United States leads in private-sector AI innovation, the European Union places stronger emphasis on worker protections and reskilling initiatives. Meanwhile, Japan has one of the highest automation rates globally, primarily driven by labor shortages and an aging population.

The table below summarizes these regional differences in AI adoption and labor market impact. The data is compiled based on reports from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the European Commission's Eurostat, and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

Table 3: Regional AI Labor Market Trends

Region	Jobs at Risk (%)	AI adoption rate	Government AI reskilling programs
United States	16%	High (esp. in tech & finance)	Moderate (private sector-driven)
European Union	14%	Varied (high in Germany, low in Eastern Europe)	Strong (EU-funded initiatives)
Japan	21%	Very High (automation-heavy)	Strong government support

Source: made by the author based on information from Eurostat (2022), U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2022), and OECD (2024)

In the United States, approximately 16% of jobs are at risk of automation. AI adoption is particularly high in industries such as finance, healthcare, and technology, where major companies like Google, Amazon, and Tesla are driving AI investment. However, the U.S. government's role in AI workforce reskilling is relatively limited, with most retraining initiatives coming from the private sector. This has resulted in regional disparities, where areas heavily dependent on manufacturing and routine labor face significant employment challenges. For example, while Silicon Valley benefits from AI-driven job creation, parts of the Midwest, historically reliant on manufacturing, experience higher levels of job displacement without adequate federal reskilling programs.

In contrast, the European Union exhibits a more balanced approach, with an estimated 14% of jobs at risk of automation. AI adoption varies significantly across member states, with Germany, the Netherlands, and Scandinavian countries leading in AI-driven automation, while Eastern European nations lag due to lower technological investment. However, the EU compensates for this disparity with robust government-funded AI training programs. Germany's "AI Made in Germany" strategy, for instance, includes public funding for AI workforce development to ensure that industrial automation does not lead to mass layoffs. By focusing on retraining and upskilling workers, the EU mitigates some of the employment risks associated with AI adoption and promotes a smoother workforce transition compared to the U.S.

Japan, with approximately 21% of jobs at risk, has one of the highest AI automation rates globally. Unlike the U.S., where automation is primarily market-driven, Japan's government actively funds AI reskilling programs to address labor shortages

caused by its aging population. Many Japanese companies, such as Toyota and Honda, integrate robotics into manufacturing processes, allowing them to compensate for workforce declines while simultaneously creating AI-integrated roles. This strategy reflects a national effort to align AI adoption with demographic challenges, ensuring that automation enhances productivity rather than leading to widespread job losses.

Although AI's impact on employment is universal, countries respond differently based on economic priorities and policy frameworks. The United States remains a global leader in AI innovation but lags in public workforce adaptation. The European Union balances AI growth with strong worker protections, preventing abrupt job displacement. Meanwhile, Japan's aggressive AI integration helps counteract demographic challenges while ensuring a stable workforce transition. Despite these differences, all regions must focus on reskilling and human-AI collaboration to prevent AI from becoming a disruptive force in labor markets.

4. Strategies for a human-AI collaborative workforce

As AI becomes increasingly integrated into the workplace, the focus must shift from job displacement to job transformation. The key to a successful transition lies in ensuring that AI enhances human capabilities rather than replacing them. To achieve this, a combination of reskilling initiatives, organizational restructuring, education reforms, and policy interventions is necessary to create a balanced, productive, and inclusive AI-driven economy.

One of the biggest challenges of AI adoption is the widening skills gap, as many traditional jobs evolve to incorporate AI tools. Workers need to develop new technical competencies while also strengthening human-centric skills that AI cannot replicate. Addressing this challenge requires investment in large-scale reskilling programs by both businesses and governments. Companies must provide continuous learning opportunities such as AI literacy training, coding boot camps, and digital transformation workshops to help employees adapt to new workflows. At the same time, workers must take personal responsibility for lifelong learning, acquiring skills in areas such as data analysis, AI ethics, and problem-solving to remain competitive in an AI-driven job market. However, technical knowledge alone is not sufficient. Soft skills, including emotional intelligence, creativity, and ethical reasoning, will be essential in workplaces where human judgment complements AI-driven automation. Education systems should place greater emphasis on critical thinking, adaptability, and communication skills, as these will be just as valuable as technical expertise in the evolving labor landscape.

For AI to truly benefit the workforce, companies must rethink how jobs are structured. Instead of seeing AI as a replacement for human labor, organizations should focus on redesigning roles to maximize human-AI collaboration. This means shifting from full automation to augmentation, where AI handles repetitive or data-heavy tasks while humans focus on strategic decision-making and creative problem-solving. A clear example of this approach can be found in healthcare, where AI-powered diagnostic tools analyze medical images with high accuracy. However, the final diagnosis and patient interaction remain the responsibility of human professionals. Similarly, in finance, AI-driven risk assessment tools help analysts evaluate market trends, but human intuition and ethical judgment are still necessary for making high-stakes investment decisions. Instead of eliminating jobs, AI should be integrated into hybrid roles that combine technical expertise with industry knowledge. A marketing strategist with AI proficiency, for example, can leverage machine learning to optimize advertising campaigns, while a human resources professional trained in AI can use predictive analytics to improve hiring decisions.

The successful integration of AI into the workforce requires collaboration between businesses and policymakers. Companies must lead the way in implementing AI responsibly, ensuring that automation improves efficiency without leading to mass layoffs. This can be achieved through internal workforce transitions, where employees are retrained and reassigned rather than being replaced. Governments, on the other hand, must introduce forward-thinking policies that support AI-driven workforce transformation. These policies should include funding for AI education programs, incentives for businesses to invest in employee training, and ethical regulations to prevent worker exploitation. In addition, public-private partnerships can play a crucial role in aligning industry needs with education curricula, ensuring that students graduate with the skills necessary for an AI-augmented economy. A strong social safety net will also be essential during this transition. Governments should consider policies such as universal basic income or wage subsidies for workers in industries undergoing significant AI-driven transformation. These measures would provide financial security while workers reskill and transition into new roles, reducing the economic shock of AI adoption.

Beyond technical and policy solutions, fostering a culture of AI-human collaboration will be critical in ensuring a smooth transition into the future of work. Many employees fear AI because they see it as a threat to job security, rather than as a tool to enhance their productivity. To change this perception, companies must be transparent about how AI is implemented, emphasizing its role as a collaborative assistant rather than a competitor. Building trust in AI also requires a strong focus on ethical AI deployment. Organizations must ensure that AI systems are free from bias, explainable in their decision-making processes, and aligned with human values. Workers should be encouraged to participate in AI-related decision-making, giving them a sense of control over how AI affects their roles. Making AI adoption a participatory process increases the likelihood that employees will embrace its benefits rather than resist change. Companies must also rethink workplace dynamics to accommodate AI-human teamwork, which includes developing AI literacy training for all employees, promoting cross-functional collaboration between AI engineers and industry specialists, and ensuring that workplace environments support creativity, critical thinking, and adaptability. Organizations that prioritize a human-first approach to AI integration will create more engaged, innovative, and resilient workforces.

4.1. Case study of Siemens (2020)

Siemens, a global leader in industrial automation and manufacturing, has been at the forefront of integrating artificial intelligence into its production processes. As the company sought to enhance efficiency while maintaining high-quality standards, it faced a common industry challenge: balancing automation with human expertise. Siemens recognized that while AI-driven automation could optimize factory operations, human oversight and problem-solving remained critical to achieving sustainable innovation.

To address this, Siemens developed and implemented AI-powered systems in its manufacturing plants, particularly in its Amberg Electronics Plant in Germany. The facility is widely regarded as one of the most advanced smart factories in the world, where 75% of production processes are automated, yet human workers remain essential to managing, monitoring, and improving operations. AI systems analyze vast amounts of real-time data to predict equipment failures, optimize workflows, and identify defects with 99.9% production accuracy. However, rather than replacing factory workers, Siemens redesigned job roles to allow employees to work alongside AI-powered

systems. Workers now act as process supervisors, quality control experts, and AI trainers, ensuring that the technology operates efficiently while continuously adapting to new challenges.

One of the most significant innovations introduced by Siemens was the use of AI-driven predictive maintenance. Traditionally, industrial machinery is maintained on a fixed schedule, which can lead to unnecessary servicing or unexpected breakdowns. Siemens integrated machine learning algorithms to predict failures before they occur, allowing for maintenance only when necessary. This not only reduced downtime by 30% but also lowered maintenance costs and improved energy efficiency. Workers were trained to interpret AI-generated reports, enabling them to make informed maintenance decisions rather than following rigid schedules.

Beyond predictive maintenance, AI has also transformed quality control and defect detection at Siemens. In the past, quality assurance relied heavily on human inspectors who visually checked products for defects. While effective, this process was slow and prone to human error. Today, Siemens uses computer vision AI to scan components with extreme precision, identifying microscopic defects in milliseconds. However, the final decision on whether to reject or repair a product is still made by human inspectors. This approach combines AI's speed with human judgment, ensuring that products meet both technical and customer standards.

Siemens' experience highlights a broader workforce transformation in the AI era. Employees who previously performed repetitive assembly tasks have been retrained to operate and improve AI systems. The company has invested heavily in reskilling initiatives, offering workers AI training programs and encouraging them to develop technical competencies in data analytics, robotics, and digital manufacturing. This shift has created new career paths, such as AI maintenance specialists, automation engineers, and human-AI collaboration managers, ensuring that human expertise remains central to Siemens' success.

Siemens demonstrates that AI adoption does not have to result in job losses but rather job evolution. By integrating AI as a collaborative tool, Siemens has increased efficiency, reduced costs, and improved product quality while ensuring that human workers remain at the heart of decision-making processes. The company's approach underscores the importance of redesigning job roles, investing in workforce development, and fostering a culture where AI enhances human capabilities rather than replacing them.

4.2. Case study of IBM (2021)

IBM, a global leader in artificial intelligence and enterprise solutions, has successfully integrated AI into its customer service operations to improve efficiency, reduce response times, and enhance the customer experience. Instead of replacing human agents, IBM developed Watson Assistant, an AI-powered virtual agent designed to handle routine inquiries while working alongside human representatives. This approach has allowed the company to balance automation with human expertise, ensuring that customer support remains fast, accurate, and personalized.

One of IBM's key challenges was scaling its customer service operations without increasing costs or reducing service quality. Traditional call centers required large teams of human agents to handle customer inquiries, leading to long wait times, inconsistent responses, and high operational costs. Additionally, repetitive queries, such as password resets and basic troubleshooting, occupied a significant portion of agents' time, preventing them from focusing on more complex customer needs.

To address these issues, IBM deployed Watson Assistant in its support centers, allowing AI to handle basic customer inquiries in real time. The AI system was trained on vast datasets of past customer interactions, enabling it to provide instant responses to frequently asked questions. This resulted in a 30% reduction in human workload, as AI efficiently handled simple requests without human intervention. However, IBM recognized that AI alone was not sufficient for delivering a high-quality customer experience, especially in cases requiring nuanced understanding, emotional intelligence, or complex troubleshooting.

To enhance its customer support model, IBM introduced a hybrid AI-human collaboration system. When a customer asks a question that AI cannot confidently resolve, Watson Assistant provides real-time recommendations to human agents, suggesting possible solutions based on historical data. The agent then reviews, refines, and personalizes the response before delivering it to the customer. This approach ensures that AI serves as a support tool rather than a replacement for human judgment, allowing customer service representatives to focus on problem-solving rather than memorizing technical details.

The impact of AI integration has been significant. IBM has reported faster resolution times, higher customer satisfaction scores, and lower operational costs. Additionally, AI-powered analytics provide insights into customer behavior and common pain points, enabling IBM to proactively improve its services. By analyzing thousands of interactions, Watson Assistant helps IBM identify recurring issues, optimize workflows, and enhance training programs for human agents.

Another major benefit of AI implementation at IBM is the global scalability of customer service. Watson Assistant supports multiple languages and operates 24/7, ensuring that customers receive assistance at any time, regardless of location. This has been particularly beneficial for IBM's international clients, who require consistent and reliable support across different time zones.

4.3. Two approaches to AI-human collaboration

Both Siemens and IBM have successfully integrated AI into their operations, but they have taken different approaches based on their industry needs. Siemens has focused on AI-assisted manufacturing, optimizing production efficiency while ensuring human workers remain central to operations. In contrast, IBM has used AI to enhance customer service, automating routine tasks while allowing human agents to focus on complex inquiries.

A key similarity between both companies is their commitment to AI as an augmentation tool rather than a replacement for human workers. At Siemens, AI-powered predictive maintenance and quality control improve manufacturing precision, yet humans oversee and refine AI-generated decisions. Similarly, IBM's Watson Assistant automates basic customer inquiries but keeps human agents in control of complex problem-solving and personalization.

However, there are notable differences in how AI transforms job roles in each company. Siemens has shifted traditional assembly-line workers into AI-assisted technical roles, requiring reskilling in digital manufacturing and automation management. Meanwhile, IBM's approach involves enhancing existing job functions, where AI supports customer service agents without requiring a complete role transformation. This distinction reflects the difference between AI-driven job evolution in manufacturing versus AI-enhanced efficiency in service-based industries.

In terms of outcomes, Siemens has achieved higher productivity and cost savings, with a 30% reduction in downtime due to predictive maintenance. IBM, on the other hand, has seen a 30% reduction in customer service workload, allowing agents to focus on high-value interactions. Both companies demonstrate that AI can drive efficiency while maintaining a human workforce, but the way AI is applied depends on industry-specific needs.

5. Conclusions

The integration of artificial intelligence into the workforce is no longer a distant possibility but a present reality, transforming industries, redefining job roles, and reshaping the nature of work itself. While initial fears of widespread job displacement due to AI-driven automation persist, the evidence suggests that AI is not a force of destruction but one of transformation. The key to ensuring a positive outcome in this transition lies in viewing AI as a collaborative tool, one that enhances human potential rather than rendering it obsolete.

Throughout this study, we have examined how AI is being adopted across various industries, demonstrating a consistent pattern of augmentation rather than replacement. From Siemens' smart factories, where AI optimizes efficiency while humans oversee production, to IBM's customer service transformation, where AI enhances, rather than eliminates, human agents, it is clear that the most effective AI implementations are those that balance technological efficiency with human expertise. The real challenge, therefore, is not how to stop AI from replacing jobs, but how to redesign the workforce to accommodate an AI-driven economy.

One of the most critical strategies in this transformation is reskilling and upskilling the workforce. AI may replace repetitive tasks, but it cannot replicate creativity, critical thinking, emotional intelligence, or ethical reasoning, all of which are becoming increasingly valuable in the digital age. Companies that invest in reskilling initiatives and hybrid AI-human roles will create a more adaptable workforce, ensuring that technological advancements lead to progress rather than displacement. At the same time, governments must play an active role in facilitating this transition by funding AI education programs, incentivizing responsible AI deployment, and ensuring ethical regulations that prevent exploitative automation.

Furthermore, AI's ability to analyze vast amounts of data, detect patterns, and optimize processes has made it an essential component of modern work environments. However, these capabilities also come with risks algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, and the potential for over-reliance on AI decision-making all pose ethical dilemmas that must be addressed. Ensuring that AI remains an enhancement rather than an uncontested authority in decision-making is crucial in maintaining human accountability, fairness, and ethical governance in the workplace. As Mitrache et al. (2024) emphasize, "AI technology represents not only an operational tool but a catalyst for business transformation, driving strategic innovation and redefining competitive advantage in the digital economy.

At its core, the AI revolution is not about the replacement of human labor but the redefinition of human purpose in the workforce. History has shown that technological advancements, from the industrial revolution to the rise of the internet, have always led to new opportunities, despite initial fears of job loss. AI is no different. The question is no longer whether AI will take over jobs but rather how society will choose to integrate AI into the workforce in a way that fosters both economic growth and human well-being.

If AI has the potential to enhance human creativity, improve efficiency, and elevate problem-solving capabilities, then the real challenge is not AI itself but how we,

as a society, choose to wield its power. Will we embrace AI as a partner in innovation, ensuring that it serves human progress? Or will we allow it to become a disruptive force, widening economic disparities and deepening social inequalities? The future of work will not be defined by AI alone, but by the choices we make today in shaping a world where humans and AI thrive together.

Authors' Contributions:

The authors contributed equally to this work.

References:

Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. In Handbook of labor economics (Vol. 4, pp. 1043-1171). Elsevier.

Amabile, T. M. (2020). Creativity, artificial intelligence, and a world of surprises. Academy of Management Discoveries, 6(3), 351-354.

Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? The history and future of workplace automation. Journal of economic perspectives, 29(3), 3-30.

Boddington, P. (2017). Towards a code of ethics for artificial intelligence (pp. 0-143). Cham: Springer.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future (Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 1448-1476). New York: WW Norton & Company.

Choi, T. M., Wallace, S. W., & Wang, Y. (2018). Big data analytics in operations management. Production and operations management, 27(10), 1868-1883.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard business review, 96(1), 108-116.

Filippucci, F., Gal, P., Jona Lasinio, C. S., Leandro, A., & Nicoletti, G. (2024). The impact of Artificial Intelligence on productivity, distribution and growth.

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., ... & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. Stroke and vascular neurology, 2(4).

Manyika, J., Chui, M., Miremadi, M., Bughin, J., George, K., Willmott, P., & Dewhurst, M. (2017). A future that works: AI, automation, employment, and productivity. McKinsey Global Institute Research, Tech. Rep, 60, 1-135.

Marcus, G., & Davis, E. (2019). Rebooting AI: Building artificial intelligence we can trust. Vintage.

Mitrache, M. D., Spulbar, L. F., & Mitrache, L. A. (2024). The Influence of AI Technology in Stimulating Growth and Innovation in Business. Revista de Stiinte Politice, (81), 51-61.

Popescu J, Spulbar L.F. & Smarandescu A (2024). Examining the Impact of AI Technology on Marketing Strategies in Financial Services. Annals of'Constantin Brancusi'University of Targu-Jiu. Economy Series/Analele Universităţii'Constantin Brâncuşi'din Târgu-Jiu Seria Economie, (6).

Roll, I., McNamara, D., Sosnovsky, S., Luckin, R., & Dimitrova, V. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education. Berlin: Springer International Publishing.

Schumpeter, J. A. (2013). Capitalism, socialism and democracy. routledge.

Spulbar, L. F., & Mitrache, L. A. (2023). The Strategic Role Of Corporate Finance In Sustainable Business Practices. A Conceptual Framework. Annals Of'constantin Brancusi'University Of Targu-Jiu. Economy Series/Analele

Universităţii'Constantin Brâncuşi'din Târgu-Jiu Seria Economie, (6).
Surden, H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and law: An overview. Ga. St. UL Rev., 35, 1305.
Topol, E. (2019). Deep medicine: how artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again. Hachette UK.
Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Human+ machine: Reimagining work in the age of AI. (No Title).
*** - Eurostat. (2022). Automation and Robotics in European Manufacturing: Employment Impact Report. European Commission. Available at: https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/scientific-activities-z/employment/automation-and-robots_en
*** - IBM Corporation. (2021). AI and Customer Service: How Watson Assistant is Transforming Support Operations. Available at: https://newsroom.ibm.com/2021-09-09-IBM-Watson-Launches-New-AI-and-Automation-Features-to-Help-Businesses-Transform-Customer-Service
*** - McKinsey Global Institute. (2021). The Future of Work After COVID-19. McKinsey & Company. Available at: https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19
*** - OECD. (2019). The Future of Work: Employment Outlook 2019. OECD Publishing. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2019/04/oecd-employment-outlook-2019_0d35ae00/9ee00155-en.pdf
*** - OECD. (2024). Generative AI set to exacerbate regional divide in OECD countries, says first regional analysis on its impact on local job markets. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/en/about/news/press-releases/2024/11/generative-ai-set-to-exacerbate-regional-divide-in-oecd-countries-says-first-regional-analysis-on-its-impact-on-local-job-markets.html
*** - Siemens AG. (2020). How AI is Transforming Smart Factories: The Siemens Approach to Industrial AI. Siemens Press Release. Available at: https://www.siemens.com/us/en/company/topic-areas/smart-factory.html
*** - U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2022). AI and Automation: Labor Market Effects in the U.S. Department of Labor. Available at: https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2022/article/growth-trends-for-selected-occupations-considered-at-risk-from-automation.htm
*** - World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. WEF. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020/

Article Info

Received: March 24 2025
Accepted: May 20 2025

How to cite this article:
Spulbar, L. F., Mitrache, L. A. (2025). How humans and AI can thrive together in the workplace?. *Revista de Ştiinţe Politice. Revue des Sciences Politiques,* no. 86, pp. 274 – 287.